arXiv:1402.6415v1 [hep-ph] 26 Feb 2014

UCRHEP-T541
February 2014

Anomalous Higgs Yukawa Couplings

Sean Fraser and Ernest Ma

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Riverside, California 92521, USA

Abstract

In the standard model, the Higgs boson h couples to the quarks and charged leptons according to the well-known formula $(m_\psi/v)h\bar{\psi}\psi$ where ψ = quark (q) or lepton (l) and $v = 246$ GeV is its vacuum expectation value. Suppose m_ψ is of radiative origin instead, then the effective $h\bar{\psi}\psi$ Yukawa coupling will not be exactly m_ψ/v. We show for the first time quantitatively how this may shift the observed branching fractions of $h \to \bar{b}b$ and $h \to \tau^+\tau^-$ upward or downward. Thus the precision measurements of Higgs decay to fermions at the Large Hadron Collider, due to resume operation in 2015, could be the key to possible new physics.

The 2012 discovery of the 126 GeV particle [1, 2] ushered in a new era of particle physics. The observed decay modes of this particle are consistent with it being the long sought Higgs boson h [3] of the standard model (SM) of particle interactions. In the near future, after the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) resumes operation in 2015, more data will allow these determinations to be greatly improved. Of particular interest are the h branching fractions to fermions, such as $\bar{b}b$ and $\tau^+\tau^-$. They are predicted by the SM to be proportional to $3m_b^2$ and m_τ^2 respectively, whereas current data are not definitive in this regard. In this paper, it is shown how these Yukawa couplings may be different from those of the SM if m_q or m_l is radiative in origin. Thus the precision measurements of Higgs decay to fermions could be the key to possible new physics.

The idea that quark and lepton masses may be radiative is of course not new. It may be conceived in the context of supersymmetry [4] or in explaining the hierarchy of quark and lepton masses [5]. Recently it has also been proposed as the link between flavor and dark matter [6], or in the presence of a nonperturbative dark sector [7]. The first step is to forbid the usual Yukawa coupling $\bar{\psi}_L\psi_R\phi^0$ or $\bar{\psi}_L\psi_R\bar{\phi}^0$ by some symmetry. The second step is to postulate new particles which allow this connection to be made in one loop with soft breaking of the assumed symmetry. A typical realization is shown below for m_τ. The new



Figure 1: One-loop generation of m_τ with η^+, χ^+.

idea of this paper is the detailed analysis of the $h\bar{\psi}\psi$ coupling which shows for the first time that it could be significantly different from the SM value of m_ψ/v, where $v = 246$ GeV. Note

the important fact that this deviation comes entirely from a renormalizable theory, unlike the usual arbitrary speculation that there could be contributions from higher-dimensional operators.

In Fig. 1, η^+ is part of an electroweak doublet (η^+, η^0) and χ^+ is a singlet. They mix because of the $\mu(\eta^+\phi^0 - \eta^0\phi^+)\chi^-$ trilinear interaction. The 2×2 mass-squared matrix spanning $(\eta^\pm, \chi^\pm)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{M}^2_{\eta\chi} = \begin{pmatrix} m_\eta^2 & \mu v/\sqrt{2} \\ \mu v/\sqrt{2} & m_\chi^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where $\langle\phi^0\rangle = v/\sqrt{2}$. Now

$$\zeta_1 = \eta\cos\theta + \chi\sin\theta, \quad \zeta_2 = \chi\cos\theta - \eta\sin\theta, \tag{2}$$

are the mass eigenstates, and the mixing angle θ is given by

$$\frac{\mu v}{\sqrt{2}} = \sin\theta\cos\theta(m_1^2 - m_2^2). \tag{3}$$

The exact calculation of m_τ in terms of the exchange of $\zeta_{1,2}$ results in [8, 9, 10]

$$\begin{aligned} m_\tau &= \frac{f_\eta f_\chi \sin\theta\cos\theta\ m_N}{16\pi^2}\left[\frac{m_1^2}{m_1^2 - m_N^2}\ln\frac{m_1^2}{m_N^2} - \frac{m_2^2}{m_2^2 - m_N^2}\ln\frac{m_2^2}{m_N^2}\right] \\ &= \frac{f_\eta f_\chi \mu v\ m_N}{16\sqrt{2}\pi^2(m_1^2 - m_2^2)}\left[\frac{m_1^2}{m_1^2 - m_N^2}\ln\frac{m_1^2}{m_N^2} - \frac{m_2^2}{m_2^2 - m_N^2}\ln\frac{m_2^2}{m_N^2}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Let $\phi^0 = (v + h)/\sqrt{2}$ and consider the effective Yukawa coupling $h\bar{\tau}\tau$. In the SM, it is of course equal to m_τ/v, but here it has three contributions. Assuming that m_h^2 is small compared to $m_{1,2}^2$ and m_N^2, Fig. 1 yields

$$f_\tau^{(3)} = \frac{f_\eta f_\chi \mu}{16\sqrt{2}\pi^2 m_N}[(\cos^4\theta + \sin^4\theta)F(x_1, x_2) + \sin^2\theta\cos^2\theta(F(x_1, x_1) + F(x_2, x_2))], \tag{5}$$

where $x_{1,2} = m_{1,2}^2/m_N^2$ and

$$F(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{x_1 - x_2}\left[\frac{x_1}{x_1 - 1}\ln x_1 - \frac{x_2}{x_2 - 1}\ln x_2\right], \quad F(x, x) = \frac{1}{x - 1} - \frac{\ln x}{(x - 1)^2}. \tag{6}$$

Comparing Eq. (5) with Eq. (4), we see that $f_\tau^{(3)} = m_\tau/v$ only in the limit $\sin 2\theta \to 0$. We see also that $F(x_1,x_1) + F(x_2,x_2)$ is always greater than $2F(x_1,x_2)$, so that $f_\tau^{(3)}$ is always greater than m_τ/v. The correction due to nonzero m_h is easily computed in the limit $m_1 = m_2 = m_N$, in which case it is $m_h^2/12m_N^2$. This shows that it should be generally negligible. Let

$$F_+(x_1,x_2) = \frac{F(x_1,x_1)+F(x_2,x_2)}{2F(x_1,x_2)} - 1, \quad F_-(x_1,x_2) = \frac{F(x_1,x_1)-F(x_2,x_2)}{2F(x_1,x_2)}, \tag{7}$$

then $F_+ \geq 0$ and if $x_1 = x_2$, $F_+ = F_- = 0$. To get an idea of their behavior, we take for example $x = x_1 = 1/x_2$ and plot $F_\pm$ as functions of x in Fig. 2.



Figure 2: The functions $F_\pm$ plotted against $x = x_1 = 1/x_2$.

The other two contributions to $h\bar{\tau}\tau$ come from $\lambda_\eta v \eta^+\eta^-$ and $\lambda_\chi v \chi^+\chi^-$, i.e.

$$f_\tau^{(1)} = \frac{\lambda_\eta v f_\eta f_\chi}{16\pi^2 m_N} \sin\theta\cos\theta[\cos^2\theta F(x_1,x_1) - \sin^2\theta F(x_2,x_2) - \cos 2\theta F(x_1,x_2)], \tag{8}$$

$$f_\tau^{(2)} = \frac{\lambda_\chi v f_\eta f_\chi}{16\pi^2 m_N} \sin\theta\cos\theta[\sin^2\theta F(x_1,x_1) - \cos^2\theta F(x_2,x_2) + \cos 2\theta F(x_1,x_2)]. \tag{9}$$

Combining all three contributions and using $r_{\eta,\chi} = \lambda_{\eta,\chi} v^2/m_N^2$, we have

$$\frac{f_\tau v}{m_\tau} = \frac{[f_\tau^{(1)} + f_\tau^{(2)} + f_\tau^{(3)}]v}{m_\tau}$$

$$= \quad 1 + \frac{1}{2}(\sin 2\theta)^2 F_+ + \frac{\cos 2\theta (r_\eta - r_\chi)}{(x_1 - x_2)} F_+ + \frac{(r_\eta + r_\chi)}{(x_1 - x_2)} F_- . \tag{10}$$

Note that whereas $f_\tau^{(1,2)}$ and m_τ go to zero as $\sin 2\theta \to 0$ for fixed $x_1 - x_2$, their ratios are finite. We plot $(f_\tau v/m_\tau)^2$ or the analogous $(f_b v/m_b)^2$ as functions of θ in Fig. 3 for various chosen values of $r_{\eta,\chi}$ with $x = 2$. It shows that in general, it is not equal to one as the SM



Figure 3: The ratio $(f_\tau v/m_\tau)^2$ plotted against θ for $x = 2$ and various r_η and r_χ.

predicts. The current LHC measurements of $h \to \tau^+\tau^-$ and $h \to \bar{b}b$ provide the bounds

$$\left(\frac{f_\tau v}{m_\tau}\right)^2 = 1.4 \begin{pmatrix} +0.5 \\ -0.4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \left(\frac{f_b v}{m_b}\right)^2 = 0.2 \begin{pmatrix} +0.7 \\ -0.6 \end{pmatrix} \text{ (ATLAS) [11]}, \tag{11}$$

$$\left(\frac{f_\tau v}{m_\tau}\right)^2 = 1.10 \pm 0.41, \quad \left(\frac{f_b v}{m_b}\right)^2 = 1.15 \pm 0.62 \text{ (CMS) [12]}. \tag{12}$$

The charged scalars $\zeta_{1,2}$ also contribute to $h \to \gamma\gamma$ [13, 14]. Its decay rate is given by

$$\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{G_F \alpha^2 m_h^3}{128\sqrt{2}\pi^3} \left| \frac{4}{3} A_{1/2}\left(\frac{4m_t^2}{m_h^2}\right) + A_1\left(\frac{4M_W^2}{m_h^2}\right) + f_1 A_0\left(\frac{4m_1^2}{m_h^2}\right) + f_2 A_0\left(\frac{4m_2^2}{m_h^2}\right) \right|^2, \quad (13)$$

where

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{4x_1}[(r_\eta + r_\chi) + \cos 2\theta (r_\eta - r_\chi) + (\sin 2\theta)^2 (x_1 - x_2)], \quad (14)$$

$$f_2 = \frac{1}{4x_2}[(r_\eta + r_\chi) - \cos 2\theta (r_\eta - r_\chi) - (\sin 2\theta)^2 (x_1 - x_2)]. \quad (15)$$

The A functions are well known, i.e.

$$A_0(y) = -y[1 - yf(y)], \quad (16)$$

$$A_{1/2}(y) = 2y[1 + (1-y)f(y)], \quad (17)$$

$$A_1(y) = -[2 + 3y + 3y(2-y)f(y)], \quad (18)$$

where $f(y) = \arcsin^2(y^{-1/2})$ for $y \geq 1$. We plot in Fig. 4 the ratio $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}/\Gamma_{SM}$ as a function of θ for various values of $r_{\eta,\chi}$ with $m_N = 850$ GeV and $x = 2$. The current LHC measurements of $h \to \gamma\gamma$ provide the bounds

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}}{\Gamma_{SM}} = 1.55 \begin{pmatrix} +0.33 \\ -0.28 \end{pmatrix} \text{ (ATLAS)}, \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}}{\Gamma_{SM}} = 0.77 \pm 0.27 \text{ (CMS)}. \quad (20)$$

Another important consequence of the radiative generation of fermion masses is the induced electromagnetic interaction which is now related to the fermion mass itself. If we apply the above procedure to the muon, we find its anomalous magnetic moment to be given by

$$\Delta a_\mu = \frac{(g-2)_\mu}{2} = \frac{m_\mu^2}{m_N^2}\left[\frac{G(x_1) - G(x_2)}{H(x_1) - H(x_2)}\right], \quad (21)$$

where

$$G(x) = \frac{x+1}{(x-1)^2} - \frac{2x\ln x}{(x-1)^3}, \quad H(x) = \frac{x \ln x}{x-1}. \quad (22)$$



Figure 4: The ratio $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}/\Gamma_{SM}$ plotted against θ for $x = 2$ with $m_N = 850$ GeV and various r_η and r_χ.

The current discrepancy of the experimental measurement [15] versus the most recently updated theoretical calculation [16] is

$$\Delta a_\mu = a_\mu^{\rm exp} - a_\mu^{\rm SM} = 39.35 \pm 5.21_{\rm th} \pm 6.3_{\rm exp} \times 10^{-10}. \tag{23}$$

Now Δa_μ in Eq. (21) turns out to be always negative, so it is not an adequate explanation of the observed discrepancy. To change this without affecting the mass or Yukawa calculations, we could simply change the new particles in Fig. 1 from N to E, (η^+, η^0) to (η^0, η^-), and χ^+ to χ^0. In that case, the corresponding anomalous magnetic moment is given by

$$\Delta a_\mu = \frac{(g-2)_\mu}{2} = \frac{m_\mu^2}{m_E^2} \left[\frac{G'(z_1) - G'(z_2)}{H(z_1) - H(z_2)} \right], \tag{24}$$

where $z_{1,2} = m^2_{1,2}/m^2_E$ and

$$G'(z) = \frac{3z-1}{(z-1)^2} - \frac{2z^2 \ln z}{(z-1)^3}. \tag{25}$$

We plot in Fig. 5 this theoretical prediction for $m_E = 850$, 950, and 1050 GeV as a function of $z = z_1 = 1/z_2$. We note that the maximum value of Δa_μ is obtained in the limit of $z \to 1$



Figure 5: Δa_μ plotted against z for various m_E.

where $\Delta a_\mu = m^2_\mu/3m^2_E$. We note also that in this case, only η^- contributes to $h \to \gamma\gamma$.

If the b quark mass is radiative as proposed in Ref. [6], the one-loop diagram is given by Fig. 6. Here there are colored scalar triplets: $(\eta^{2/3}, \eta^{-1/3})$, $\chi^{-1/3}$, which are respectively doublet and singlet under $SU(2)$. The decay rate of $h \to gg$ is then modified.

$$\Gamma_{gg} = \frac{G_F \alpha_S^2 m_h^3}{64\sqrt{2}\pi^3} \left| A_{1/2}\left(\frac{4m_t^2}{m_h^2}\right) + \left[f'_1 A_0\left(\frac{4m_1'^2}{m_h^2}\right) + f'_2 A_0\left(\frac{4m_2'^2}{m_h^2}\right) + f'_\eta A_0\left(\frac{4m_\eta'^2}{m_h^2}\right) \right] \right|^2 . \tag{26}$$

Here $m'_{1,2}$ refer to the mass eigenvalues of the mixed $(\eta^{-1/3}, \chi^{-1/3})$ system and m'_η is the mass



Figure 6: One-loop generation of m_b with $\eta^{-1/3}, \chi^{-1/3}$.

of $\eta^{2/3}$ with $m'^{\,2}_\eta = m'^{\,2}_1 \cos^2\theta' + m'^{\,2}_2 \sin^2\theta'$. Using $r'_{\eta,\chi} = \lambda'_{\eta,\chi} v^2/m_N^2$ and $x'_{1,2,\eta} = m'^{\,2}_{1,2,\eta}/m_N^2$, we find

$$f'_1 = \frac{1}{4x'_1}[(r'_\eta + r'_\chi) + \cos 2\theta'(r'_\eta - r'_\chi) + (\sin 2\theta')^2(x'_1 - x'_2)], \quad (27)$$

$$f'_2 = \frac{1}{4x'_2}[(r'_\eta + r'_\chi) - \cos 2\theta'(r'_\eta - r'_\chi) - (\sin 2\theta')^2(x'_1 - x'_2)], \quad (28)$$

$$f'_\eta = \frac{r'_\eta}{2x'_\eta}. \quad (29)$$

We plot in Fig. 7 the ratio Γ_{gg}/Γ_{SM} as a function of θ' for $x' = 2$ and 1.5 with $m_N = 850$ GeV for $(r'_\eta, r'_\chi) = (0,0)$ and (0.2,0). This shows that the production of h via gluon fusion may be significantly affected. Currently the sample of $h \to \bar{b}b$ decays at the LHC comes only from vector boson associated production, which is unchanged in this case.

In conclusion, we have shown in this paper for the first time how the decay of the 126 GeV particle h discovered at the LHC in 2012 may reveal the true origin of quark and lepton masses. If a quark or lepton ψ acquires its mass radiatively, the Yukawa coupling of h to $\bar{\psi}\psi$ is predicted to differ in general from the standard-model prediction of m_ψ/v where $v = 246$ GeV. This is experimentally verifiable in $h \to \tau^+\tau^-$ and $h \to \bar{b}b$ with more data at the LHC, due to resume operation in 2015.

Acknowledgment : This work is supported in part by the U. S. Department of Energy under Grant No. de-sc0008541.



Figure 7: The ratio Γ_{gg}/Γ_{SM} plotted against θ' for $x' = 2$ and 1.5 with $m_N = 850$ GeV for $(r'_\eta, r'_\chi) = (0, 0)$ and (0.2,0).

References

[1] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B716**, 1 (2012).

[2] CMS Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B716**, 30 (2012).

[3] For a recent comprehensive review, see J. Ellis, arXiv:1312.5672 [hep-ph].

[4] E. Ma, Phys. Rev. **D39**, 1922 (1989).

[5] E. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2866 (1990).

[6] E. Ma, arXiv:1311.3213 [hep-ph], Phys. Rev. Lett. (in press).

[7] E. Gabrielli and M. Raidal, Phys. Rev. **D89**, 015008 (2014).

[8] E. Ma, Phys. Rev. **D73**, 077301 (2006).

[9] Y. Farzan and E. Ma, Phys. Rev. **D86**, 033007 (2012).

[10] E. Ma, I. Picek, and B. Radovcic, Phys. Lett. **B726**, 744 (2013).

[11] ATLAS Collaboration, "https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/HiggsPublicResults".

[12] CMS Collaboration, "https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PublicResultsHIG".

[13] A. Arhrib, R. Benbrik, and N. Gaur, Phys. Rev. **D85**, 095021 (2012).

[14] B. Swiezewska and M. Krawczyk, Phys. Rev. **D88**, 035019 (2013).

[15] G. W. Bennett *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D73**, 072003 (2006).

[16] M. Benayoun, P. David, L. Delbuono, and F. Jegerlehner, Eur. Phys. J. **C72**, 1848 (2012).